# Kinney Drugs, Inc. and Teamsters Local 687, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO. Case 3-CA-18776

September 30, 1994

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

## BY CHAIRMAN GOULD AND MEMBERS STEPHENS AND DEVANEY

On August 23, 1994, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board issued a complaint and notice of hearing alleging that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 3-RC-9808. (Official notice is taken of the "record" in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g); Frontier Hotel, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed an answer admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint, and asserting affirmative defenses.

On September 8, 1994, the General Counsel filed a Motion to Transfer Proceeding to the Board, to Strike Respondent's First and Second Affirmative Defenses and for Summary Judgment. On September 9, 1994, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent filed a response.

The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

# Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

In its answer, the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of its objections to the election and the disposition of certain challenged ballots in the representation proceeding.1

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941).

Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judg-

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

#### I. JURISDICTION

At all material times, the Respondent, a New York corporation with its principal office and place of business located at 29 East Main Street, Gouverneur, New York (the main office facility), and a facility located at 520 East Main Street, Gouverneur, New York (the warehouse facility), has been engaged in the operation of a retail drug store chain.

During the 12-month period preceding issuance of the complaint, the Respondent, in conducting its business operations, derived gross revenues in excess of \$500,000 and purchased and received at its Gouverneur facilities goods and materials valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points located outside the State of New York.

We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

#### II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES

#### A. The Certification

Following the election held November 22, 1991, the Union was certified on August 3, 1994, as the collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All full-time and regular part-time truck drivers and warehouse employees including plant clerical employees employed by the Respondent at its 29 East Main Street and 520 East Main Street, Gouverneur, New York warehouse facilities, but excluding office clerical employees, professional employees, temporary employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

### B. Refusal to Bargain

On or about August 5, 1994, the Union requested the Respondent to bargain and, since August 9, 1994, the Respondent has refused. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 314 NLRB No. 56 (July 24, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As we have granted the General Counsel's Motion for Summary Judgment, we find it unnecessary to pass on the General Counsel's motion to strike portions of the Respondent's answer.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By refusing on and after August 9, 1994, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

#### REMEDY

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by the law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. Mar-Jac Poultry Co., 136 NLRB 785 (1962); Lamar Hotel, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); Burnett Construction Co., 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

#### **ORDER**

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Kinney Drugs, Inc., Gouverneur, New York, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

- 1. Cease and desist from
- (a) Refusing to bargain with Teamsters Local 687, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.
- (b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.
- 2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.
- (a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment, and if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All full-time and regular part-time truck drivers and warehouse employees including plant clerical employees employed by the Respondent at its 29 East Main Street and 520 East Main Street, Gouverneur, New York warehouse facilities, but excluding office clerical employees, professional

- employees, temporary employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.
- (b) Post at its facility in Gouverneur, New York, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix." Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 3, after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent immediately upon receipt and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material.
- (c) Notify the Regional Director in writing within 20 days from the date of this Order what steps the Respondent has taken to comply.

Dated, Washington, D.C. September 30, 1994

William B. Gould IV,	Chairman
James M. Stephens,	Member
Dennis M. Devaney,	Member

(SEAL) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

### **APPENDIX**

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES
POSTED BY ORDER OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with Teamsters Local 687, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All full-time and regular part-time truck drivers and warehouse employees including plant clerical employees employed by us at our 29 East Main Street and 520 East Main Street, Gouverneur,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."

KINNEY DRUGS 3

New York warehouse facilities, but excluding office clerical employees, professional employees,

temporary employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

KINNEY DRUGS, INC.